

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/656,645	09/05/2003	Roland Sacks	SMB-PT084	8110
3624	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			DEVORE, PETER T	
30 SOUTH 17	AZA, SUITE 1600 7TH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103		3751	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 15 - A5	A P			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/656,645	SACKS, ROLAND			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter T. deVore	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	une 2005.				
, ,	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9-12,14 and 15 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, 15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 contains recitations which are contradictory. In line 8, the claim reads "a U-shaped profile defining a channel"; but in lines 9-10, the claim reads "the U-shaped profile having a portion that projects inwardly into the channel". The line 8 recitation implies that the "channel" is a sub-element of the "U-shaped profile", but the lines 9-10 recitation implies that the "channel" and the "U-shaped profile" do not overlap. This inconsistency renders the claim indefinite. In addition, in lines 1-4, the claim reads "A cleaning device comprising... a fluid outlet... the fluid outlet being formed by a spray strip"; but in lines 5-6 the claim reads "the spray strip (3) is removably fastened to the cleaning device". The lines 1-4 recitation implies that the "spray strip" is a sub-element of the "cleaning device", but the lines 5-6 recitation implies that the "spray strip" and the "cleaning device" do not overlap. This inconsistency also renders the claim indefinite.

Claim 15 contains recitations to the cleaning device and spray strip that are inconsistent in the same manner as seen in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novotny.

The Novotny reference discloses a cleaning device comprising a distributing surface (surface of brush A which spray strip I mounts on), a fluid line K, a profile strip/fluid outlet removably fastened to the brush comprising a tubular spray strip I, openings J, and a formed section/strip fastener (portion proximate reference numeral B which extends across the length of the brush and arms D), but remains silent as to the material of the profile strip. However, it would have been obvious to form the profile strip out of drawn or extruded plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding claim 15 filed on 6/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the claimed removability requires the frame B, tube I, and handle K of Novotny to be considered a "profile strip", and that this position is untenable. However, it is the Examiner's position (notwithstanding the indefiniteness of the claim language discussed supra) that only the

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

Page 4

portion of the frame proximate to the brush of Novotny (as spelled out in detail supra) is required to correspond to the claimed "profile strip" for the Novotny device to read on the claim, and that this interpretation of the claim language "profile strip" is reasonable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T. deVore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd PJ

JUSTINE R. YU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

8/19/05